### HARD-SCATTERING PROBES

#### The Probes Gallery:



#### High $p_T$ Particle Production in pp



$$\frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{h}}{dyd^{2}p_{T}} = K \sum_{abcd} \int dx_{a} dx_{b} f_{a}(x_{a},Q^{2}) f_{b}(x_{b},Q^{2}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}} (ab \rightarrow cd) \frac{D_{h/c}^{0}}{\pi z_{c}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} Julia Velkovska$$
Third Andean School on Nuclear Physics, July 25,2017
$$72$$

#### Calibrating the Probe(s)

10<sup>-1</sup>

10

10<sup>-3</sup>



used as a "proxy" to jets.

E\*d<sup>3</sup>ơ/dp<sup>3</sup> (mb⋅GeV<sup>-2</sup>·c<sup>3</sup>) - KKP NLO 10 ····· Kretzer NLO 10 10 10<sup>-7</sup> 10<sup>-8</sup> <u>Δ</u>σ/σ **(%)** 40 b) 20 0 -20 -40 4 c) (Data-QCD)/QCD 2 0 4 d) 2 0 5 10 15 p<sub>T</sub> (GeV/c) hep-ex/0304038 S.S. Adler et al.

 $p+p->\pi^0 + X^{a}$ 

PHENIX Data

#### High $p_T$ Particle Production in A+A



#### Quantifying the nuclear effect



#### Calibrate the probe and then use it !

• Single-particle spectrum and QCD predictions



#### Control experiment: colorless probe



#### High $p_{\rm T}$ charged hadrons: from RHIC to LHC

- Measuring charged tracks up to  $p_T \sim 400 \text{ GeV/c}$  (jet triggers)
- Suppression for p<sub>T</sub> > 10 GeV is similar at the much higher energies at the LHC
   27.4 pb<sup>-1</sup> (5.02 TeV pp) + 404 μb<sup>-1</sup> (5.02 TeV PbPb)



#### A colorless probe: isolated high $p_T$ photons at the LHC



#### As expected: no nuclear modifications seen

#### arXiv:1201.3093

#### The particle Zoo in PHENIX



Third Andean School on Nuclear Physics, July 25,2017

#### Charmed mesons at RHIC and LHC



ALI-PUB-99598

### $R_{AA}$ particle Zoo in CMS



- QGP is transparent to
   Photons, W and Z bosons
   NEW colorless probes
- Charged hadrons (light) and heavy quarks (secondary J/Ψ) are suppressed

#### Jet quenching in the CMS detector



83 Third Andean School on Nuclear Physics, July 25,2017

#### **Jet Angular Correlation**



#### **Jet Angular Correlation**



medium does not lead to a visible angular decorrelation

### Dijet Asymmetry

- Dijet selection:
  - $|\eta^{\text{Jet}}| < 2$
  - Leading jet  $p_{T,1} > 120 \text{GeV/c}$
  - Subleading jet p<sub>T,2</sub> > 50GeV/c
  - $\Delta \phi_{1,2} > 2\pi/3$



• Quantify dijet energy imbalance by asymmetry ratio:

$$A_{j} = \frac{p_{T,1} - p_{T,2}}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}}$$

### **Dijet Energy Imbalance**



### Dijet Energy Imbalance



Parton energy loss is observed as a pronounced energy imbalance in central PbPb

### WHERE DOES THE LOST ENERGY GO ?



**Missing** 
$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}^{\parallel}$$
:  $p_{\mathsf{T}}^{\parallel} = \sum_{\text{Tracks}} -p_{\mathsf{T}}^{\text{Track}} \cos\left(\phi_{\text{Track}} - \phi_{\text{Leading Jet}}\right)$ 

Calculate projection of  $p_T$ on leading jet axis and average over selected tracks with

 $p_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV/c and} |\eta| < 2.4$ 



#### Leading Jet defines direction

**Missing** 
$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}^{\parallel}$$
:  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}^{\parallel} = \sum_{\text{Tracks}} -p_{\mathsf{T}}^{\text{Track}} \cos\left(\phi_{\text{Track}} - \phi_{\text{Leading Jet}}\right)$ 

Calculate projection of  $p_T$ on leading jet axis and average over selected tracks with  $p_T > 0.5$  GeV/c and

|η| < 2.4



#### Sum all tracks in the event





the momentum balance is restored



## The momentum difference in the dijet is balanced by low $p_T$ particles





The momentum difference in the dijet is balanced by low  $p_{\rm T}$  particles at large angles relative to the away side jet axis

#### Parton Fragmentation

#### Partons fragment to Hadrons



97

#### Parton Fragmentation in PYTHIA



- Momentum Fraction z
  - characteristic of the parton showering process
  - $z = p_T^{hadron}/p_T^{parton}$

### $\xi = ln(1/z)$ Representation



### $\xi = ln(1/z)$ Representation



- Eliminate the underlying event contribution,  $p_T > 4 GeV/c$
- Select particles in a  $\Delta R=0.3$  cone

#### Fragmentation Functions, pp and PbPb



#### Leading and subleading jet in PbPb fragment like jets of corresponding energy in pp collisions

### NOW INCLUDE SOFTER PARTICLES : $P_T > 1$ GEV/C

Third Andean School on Nuclear Physics, July 25,2017

#### Anatomy of a jet



# Fragmentation function including soft particles



Fragmentation is modified in the medium, a lot more soft particles (1<pt<4 GeV/c) emitted in PbPb collisions

#### Jet shape





#### Differential jet shapes



The jet shape is modified in central PbPb collisions

- jet core (r<0.2) is collimated
- broadening in the tails (r>0.2)

#### Anatomy of a jet

#### Ratio of PbPb/pp differential jet shapes



PLB 730 (2014) 243

#### Ratio of PbPb/pp fragmentation functions



#### Z+jet in PbPb collisions

Study of jet quenching with Z+jet correlations in PbPb and pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}} = 5.02 \,{\rm TeV}$ 

The CMS Collaboration\*

#### Abstract

The production of jets in association with Z bosons, reconstructed via the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $e^+e^-$  decay channels, is studied in pp and, for the first time, in PbPb collisions. Both data samples were collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC, at a center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV. The PbPb collisions were analyzed in the 0–30% centrality range. The back-to-back azimuthal alignment was studied in both pp and PbPb collisions for Z bosons with transverse momentum  $p_T^Z > 60 \text{ GeV}/c$  and a recoiling jet with  $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 30 \text{ GeV}/c$ . The  $p_T$  imbalance,  $x_{jZ} = p_T^{\text{pt}}/p_T^Z$ , as well as the average number of jet partners per Z,  $R_{jZ}$ , were studied in intervals of  $p_T^Z$ , in both pp and PbPb collisions. The  $R_{jZ}$  is found to be smaller in PbPb than in pp collisions, which suggests that in PbPb collisions a larger fraction of partons, associated with the Z bosons, lose energy and fall below the 30 GeV/c  $p_T^{\text{jet}}$  threshold.

Submitted to Physical Review Letters





3 Feb 2017

Third Andean School on Nuclear Physics, July 25,2017

#### Summary of hard-probe measurements

- R<sub>AA</sub><1 for all hadron types; R<sub>AA</sub> ~1 for EW bosons
- Angular correlation of partons not affected by the medium
  - Constrains the scattering mechanisms
  - Large dijet momentum imbalance observed
    - Direct observation of parton energy loss
- Momentum difference in the dijet balanced by low p<sub>T</sub> particles at large angles relative to the away side jet
- jet fragmentation and jet shape are modified with excess of soft particles ( $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV/c}$ )
- jet-photon and jet-Z correlations a new way for precise studies of jet energy loss

lkovska

#### How to become a heavy-ion physicist

#### Javier Orjuela-Koop

#### *How long have you been working in PHENIX and at what institution?* I have been working in PHENIX since January 2013 as a graduate student

in Prof. Jamie Nagle's heavy ion group at the University of Colorado Boulder.

#### What is the focus of your work on the PHENIX experiment?

My work can broadly be described as centered on understanding and controlling event geometry in small systems for various physics applications. Namely, I have studied centrality categorization in d+Au and how it can bias the measurement of centrality-dependent invariant yields; and more recently, the question of collective flow in <sup>3</sup>He+Au, d+Au and p+Au. Additionally, I am currently involved in the sPHENIX simulation effort.

#### Where were you born and what is your education background before your current position?

I was born in Bogota, Colombia at nearly 9000 ft above sea level. I majored in physics and computer engineering at the University of the Andes in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

#### What was the title of your Ph.D. (or tentative title)?

Although I have yet to arrive at a title, my thesis will focus on heavy flavor measurements in small systems with the VTX using data from Run15.

#### How did you decide to go into heavy ion or spin research?

I first learned of heavy ion physics as a summer student at CERN from people in ALICE, but I didn't have much chance to explore it as an undergraduate. Then, during my first semester at Colorado, I attended a talk by Prof. Nagle on heavy ion physics, PHENIX and the possibilities of sPHENIX. Being interested in both nuclear and particle physics, I found the prospect of high energy nuclear physics quite exciting.

#### What do you like to do in your spare time?

When I'm not working I really enjoy skiing, painting and playing the guitar. You may also find me at art galleries from time to time.





#### Color Screening from the QGP

- Debye screening length  $\lambda_D \sim 1/T$
- Hadrons with radii greater than ~  $\lambda_D$  will be dissolved



state	J/ψ	Xc	Ψ'	Y	Xb	Y'	Xb <sup>′</sup>	Y"
mass [GeV]	3.10	3.53	3.68	9.46	9.99	10.02	10.26	10.36
$\Delta E [GeV]$	0.64	0.20	0.05	1.10	0.67	0.54	0.31	0.20
radius [fm]	0.25	0.36	0.45	0.14	0.22	0.28	0.34	0.39

#### The M in CMS



### $\Upsilon$ candidate in PbPb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$



114

#### $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon$

- J/ $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  observed in  $\mu^+\mu^-$  channel
- CMS muon acceptance  $|\eta|$ <2.4,  $p_{T\mu}$ >2-4 GeV/c
- Excellent mass resolution ~1%, comparable to pp
- Use displaced vertices to separate prompt J/ $\psi$  and B-decays



 $N_{J/w} = 734 \pm 54$ 

 $N_{\gamma} = 86 \pm 12$ 

#### Suppression of excited $\Upsilon$ states



- Excited states  $\Upsilon(2S,3S)$  relative to  $\Upsilon(1S)$  are suppressed
- Probability to obtain measured value, or lower, if the real double ratio is unity, has been calculated to be less than 1%